Check List the journal of biodiversity data

LISTS OF SPECIES

Check List 12(4): 1935, 29 July 2016 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.15560/12.4.1935
ISSN 1809-127X © 2016 Check List and Authors

A checklist and assemblage comparison of ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) from the Wichita Mountains Wildlife Refuge in Oklahoma

Karl A. Roeder[1*] and **Diane V. Roeder**[1, 2]

1 Department of Biology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, 73019, USA
2 Oklahoma Biological Survey, Norman, OK, 73019, USA
* Corresponding author. E-mail: karoeder@ou.edu

Abstract: We present a checklist of 47 ant species (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) from the Wichita Mountains Wildlife Refuge in Oklahoma, USA. Surveys conducted in 2015 recaptured 67% of all historically collected species and add 12 new county records including the new state records of *Pheidole tetra* and *Solenopsis aurea*. We demonstrate the importance of sampling multiple habitats for species inventories as forests and grasslands contained compositionally unique ant assemblages. We also report an absence of the invasive red imported fire ant, *Solenopsis invicta,* at all sampling locations despite its occupation of the surrounding area and the southern half of the state. Combined, these results highlight the importance of protected land in conserving regional fauna and add to our understanding of the biodiversity in Oklahoma.

Key words: biodiversity; Central Great Plains; conservation; native species

INTRODUCTION

The state of Oklahoma is at the crossroads of numerous ecoregions with diverse biotas (Bruner 1931; Hoagland 2000). While most of the area is made up of the Central Great Plains, anthropogenic sources have converted large portions of this once lush grassland to row crop agriculture (Pogue and Schnell 2001; Drummond et al. 2012; Sohl et al. 2012). As a result, over 15% of Oklahoma is now considered cropland (Diamond and Elliot 2015), which is worrisome given that native species richness has been shown to decrease in agriculturally dominated areas (Matson et al. 1997; Krebs et al. 1999; Hendrickx et al. 2007). To combat these changes, government agencies such as the United States Fish and Wildlife Service have created programs to protect the environment for future generations.

One such program built to conserve, manage, and if needed, restore the native flora and fauna of designated areas across the United States is the National Wildlife Refuge System. Created in the early 1900s, this system now exists in all 50 states and US territories (Meretsky et al. 2006). Oklahoma hosts nine refuges including the Wichita Mountains Wildlife Refuge (hereafter WMWR) located in the southwestern part of the state. At the WMWR, species lists exist for amphibians, birds, mammals, plants, and reptiles. Yet an updated list for one notable taxon, ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae), is missing.

Ants are abundant, diverse, and ecologically important (Lach et al. 2010). From forests to grasslands, ants are ecosystem engineers (Del Toro et al. 2012), nomadic armies (Kaspari and O'Donnell 2003), and devastating invasive species (Tschinkel 2006; Holway et al. 2002). They are organized into a variety of castes that contain a range of phenotypes that differ in body size, life span, societal role, and reproductive output (Hölldobler and Wilson 1990). Compiled species lists (Smith 1935; Young and Howell 1964; Smith 1979; Wheeler and Wheeler 1989; Albrecht 1995) and data from the Global Ant Biodiversity Informatics Project (GABI 2016) report between 87–125 native species for the state of Oklahoma. However, only thirty of these species have been previously collected at the WMWR (Wheeler and Wheeler 1989).

Here we present the first updated species list of ants from the WMWR in 25 years. As major taxonomic revisions at the species and genus level have occurred during this time (Bolton 1995; Bolton et al. 2006), we have updated the nomenclature of previous records. Furthermore, since the mid-1980s, the invasive red imported fire ant, *Solenopsis invicta* Buren 1972, was reported from the neighbouring town of Lawton, Oklahoma causing concern about their possible spread

into the WMWR. As most of the previous species records occur prior to this invasion, we systematically resurveyed ants in the WMWR during the summer and fall months of 2015. In doing so, we (1) recaptured 67% of previously collected ant species, (2) add 12 new county and two new state locality records, (3) highlight the importance of sampling multiple habitat types, and (4) report an absence of *S. invicta* at all of our surveyed locations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site

The WMWR contains 59,020 acres of protected land located in the southwestern portion of Oklahoma (Figure 1). Within this area, there are over 806 plant, 240 bird, 55 reptile, 50 mammal, 36 fish, and 19 amphibian species (WMWR Species Lists 2016). The flora is primarily mixed grass prairie with forest fragments in the middle of an igneous rock formation (Chase et al. 1956). Woody vegetation is dominated by eastern redcedar (*Juniperus virginiana* L.), American elm (*Ulmus americana* L.), netleaf hackberry (*Celtis reticulate* (Torr.) L.D. Benson), sugar maple (*Acer saccharum* Marshall), pecan (*Carya illinoinensis* (Wangenh.) K. Koch), blackjack oak (*Quercus marilandica* Münchh.), and post oak (*Quercus stellata* Wangenh.) (Buck 1964; Diamond and Elliot 2015). The climate is variable with an average coldest month minimum temperature of –3.28°C (January), an average warmest month maximum temperature of 35.33°C (July), and a mean annual precipitation of 806.45 mm (OCS 2016).

Data collection

We surveyed ants at fifteen locations across the WMWR from May to September 2015 (Table 1). At 10 sites that were spaced approximately 2.5 km apart along the latitudinal median of the WMWR, we set out 20 pitfall traps spaced 10 m apart along a 200-m transect oriented in a north to south direction for three days. Pitfall traps were 50 ml centrifuge tubes filled with a solution of propylene glycol, ethanol, and fragrance-free detergent. We also opportunistically collected ants by hand and when appropriate, extracted ants from leaf litter using a Winkler sifter (Bioquip Products, CA, USA) to generate the overall species list.

Specimens were identified using taxonomic references found in Creighton (1950), DuBois (1986), Fisher and Cover (2007), Kallal and LaPolla (2012), Mackay and



Figure 1. Map of the Wichita Mountains Wildlife Refuge. The fifteen sampling locations are denoted by grey points. The inset shows the location of the refuge in North America.

Table 1. Sampling locations at the Wichita Mountains Wildlife Refuge. The closest landmark is listed as location with latitude and longitude coordinates in decimal degrees and elevation in meters for the actual site of collection. Habitat is classified as grassland (absence of woody vegetation) or forest (presence of woody vegetation) and collection method is listed as H = hand, P = pitfall, or W = Winkler Sifter.

Location	Latitude	Longitude	Elevation	Habitat	Method
Apache Lake	34.7342°	-098.6800°	486 m	Forest	H,P,W
Buford Lake	34.7226°	-098.6727°	457 m	Grassland	H
Caddo Lake	34.7372°	-098.7305°	552 m	Grassland	H,P
Comanche Lake	34.7666°	-098.7308°	572 m	Grassland	H
Elmer Thomas Lake	34.7357°	-098.5237°	436 m	Grassland	H,P
Holy City	34.7355°	-098.5764°	496 m	Grassland	H,P
Jed Johnson Lake	34.7343°	-098.5972°	502 m	Forest	H,P,W
Job Corps Center	34.6996°	-098.7452°	453 m	Forest	H,P,W
Osage Lake	34.7155°	-098.6539°	464 m	Forest	H,W
Parallel Forest	34.7504°	-098.5798°	489 m	Forest	H
Quanah Parker Lake	34.7237°	-098.6492°	468 m	Forest	H,P,W
Quetone Point	34.7365°	-098.5519°	476 m	Grassland	H,P
Refuge Headquarters	34.7330°	-098.7141°	518 m	Forest	H,P,W
Sunset Park	34.7321°	-098.7234°	514 m	Forest	H
Visitor Center	34.7114°	-098.6259°	456 m	Grassland	H,P

Mackay (2002), Rabeling et al. (2007), Snelling (1995), Snelling and Snelling (2007), Taber (1998), Trager (1991), Trager et al. (2007), Ward (2005) and Wilson (1955, 2003) with nomenclature changes implemented to follow Bolton's New Catalogue of Ants (2006). Digital color images of new distributional records were taken using a Leica EC3 digital camera mounted on a Leica S8 APO stereo microscope with FireCam software version 3.4.1 (Leica Microsystems Inc., Wetzlar, Germany). Images were compiled using Helicon Focus version 4.2.7 (Helicon Soft Ltd.) with scale bars added in Adobe Photoshop CS3 version 10.0.1 (Adobe Systems Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). All samples were collected in accordance with Wichita Mountains Wildlife Refuge Research and Monitoring Special Use Permit #21670–15–046 issued to Karl A. Roeder. Voucher specimens are deposited in the K. A. Roeder collection and duplicates, when available, will be deposited in the Sam Noble Oklahoma Museum of Natural History.

Data analysis

For each pitfall transect, Chao2 sample-based rarefaction was used to estimate if sampling had gone to completion after 100 randomizations in the program EstimateS 9.1.0. Transects were categorized as forest or grassland based on the presence or absence of woody vegetation, and compared using the inverse Simpson diversity index. We then assessed compositional differences of the ten ant assemblages using non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) with a Bray-Curtis dissimilarity as the distance parameter in the R package 'vegan'.

RESULTS

Ecological surveys

A total of 4428 individuals from 25 species were collected in the 10 pitfall transects. Abundance (N) and species richness (S) varied across traps (N_{range} = 0 to 352; S_{range} = 0 to 8) and transects (N_{range} = 79 to 1735; S_{range} = 6 to 11). Chao2 estimates suggest that pitfall sampling had approached completion at all but one of the sites (Figures 2–5). At the Job Corps Center, an asymptote was not reached as single individuals were observed for the species: *Aphaenogaster texana* Wheeler 1915, *Forelius pruinosus* (Roger 1863), *Nylanderia terricola* (Buckley 1866), *and Prenolepis imparis* (Say 1836). For *P. imparis* this may have been an artifact of temporal sampling as the species only appears above ground in the cooler months (Tschinkel 1987), and the Job Corps Center was the last sampled transect. Moreover, *P. imparis* was hand collected throughout the WMWR in September at locations where it had not been previously observed during May, June, or July.

Estimates of the inverse Simpson index (D) suggest that forest sites were more diverse than grassland sites (Inverse Simpson Index: D_{Forest} = 3.71 ± 0.59; $D_{Grassland}$ = 2.46 ± 0.42) primarily due to a lower mean abundance (N_{forest} = 293.4 ± 97.3; $N_{grassland}$ = 592.2 ± 296.3) and higher mean species richness (S_{forest} = 9.8 ± 0.4; $S_{grassland}$ = 7.8 ± 0.7). Additionally, sites clustered according to habitat type in NMDS ordination space (Figure 6) because 16 of the 25 collected species were exclusively observed in either forests or grasslands. Of these, the majority were unique to forests (S_{forest} = 11; $S_{grassland}$ = 5). These results combined with hand collecting and Winkler sifting resulted in 37 collected ant species at the WMWR during the summer and fall months of 2015 (Table 2; *see Taxon information portion*).

Taxon information

Aphaenogaster fulva Roger, 1863

Aphaenogaster fulva Roger (1863): 190. — Mayr (1886b): 445.
Aphaenogaster fulva var. *rubida* Enzmann (1947): 147. — Brown (1949): 49.

2)



3)

4)



5)

Figure 2–5. Sample-based rarefaction of ant richness in different habitat types. **2:** Chao2 estimates of forest sites. **3:** Oak forest at Quanah Parker Lake. **4:** Chao2 estimates of grassland sites. **5:** Mixed-grass prairie at the Holy City.

Material examined: KAR155; Figure 7; Table 2.

Coloration is variable. An abruptly raised mesonotum with an elevated anterior edge separates this species from many others found in the genus *Aphaenogaster* (Mackay and Mackay 2002). Specimens were found in only one location, the leaf litter at Osage Lake, potentially as a host for the parasitic *Aphaenogaster tennesseensis*.



Figure 6. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination of the different ant assemblages from the pitfall transects. Sites of similar habitat are grouped using convex hulls.

Aphaenogaster tennesseensis (Mayr, 1862)

Atta tennesseensis Mayr (1862): 743. — Wheeler and Wheeler (1953c): 61.

Myrmica subrubra Buckley (1867): 336. — Mayr (1886b): 365.

Stenamma (*Aphaenogaster*) *tennesseense* var. *ecalcaratum* Emery (1895): 301. — Creighton (1950): 151.

Material examined: KAR156; Figure 8; Table 2.

Workers are red and heavy bodied. A diagnostic trait of this species is its long, curved propodeal spines. Specimens were collected at only one location, Osage Lake, and may have been parasitizing a colony of *Aphaenogaster fulva* that was collected in the same leaf litter sample.

Aphaenogaster texana Wheeler, 1915

Aphaenogaster texana Wheeler (1915): 412. — Wheeler and Wheeler (1953c): 62.

Aphaenogaster (*Deromyrma*) *silvestrii* Menozzi (1929): 282. — Creighton (1950): 152.

Material examined: KAR157; Figure 9; Table 2.

Coloration is brown. Head is elongate, and approximately one-third longer than broad with coarse rugae

Table 2. Checklist of native ants for Comanche County and the Wichita Mountains Wildlife Refuge (WMWR). Legend: – = absent, x = present, * = new county record, ** = new state record.

Taxa	Comanche County	WMWR	WMWR (2015 survey)	Voucher number
Dolichoderinae				
Dorymyrmex flavus McCook, 1879	x	x	x	KAR141
Dorymyrmex insanus (Buckley, 1866)	x	x	-	-
Forelius mccooki (McCook, 1879)	x	x	x	KAR142
Forelius pruinosus (Roger, 1863)	x	x	x	KAR143
Tapinoma sessile (Say, 1836)	x	x	x*	KAR144
Ecitoninae				
Neivamyrmex nigrescens (Cresson, 1872)	x	x	x	KAR145
Neivamyrmex punctaticeps (Emery, 1894)	x	-	-	-
Formicinae				
Brachymyrmex depilis Emery, 1893	x	x	x*	KAR146
Camponotus americanus Mayr, 1862	x	x	x	KAR147; KAR150
Camponotus decipiens Emery, 1893	x	x	x	KAR148
Camponotus discolor (Buckley, 1866)	x	-	-	-
Camponotus nearcticus Emery, 1893	x	-	-	-
Camponotus pennsylvanicus (De Geer, 1773)	x	x	x	KAR149
Camponotus semitestaceus Snelling, 1970	x	x	-	-
Formica dolosa Buren, 1944	x	x	-	-
Formica pallidefulva Latreille, 1802	x	x	x	KAR151
Lasius interjectus Mayr, 1866	x	x	-	-
Lasius latipes (Walsh, 1863)	x	x	-	-
Lasius neoniger Emery, 1893	x	x	x	KAR152
Myrmecocystus melliger Forel, 1886	x	x	-	-
Nylanderia terricola (Buckley, 1866)	x	x	x*	KAR153
Prenolepis imparis (Say, 1836)	x	x	x	KAR154
Myrmecinae				
Aphaenogaster fulva Roger, 1863	x	x	x*	KAR155
Aphaenogaster tennesseensis (Mayr, 1862)	x	x	x*	KAR156
Aphaenogaster texana Wheeler, 1915	x	x	x*	KAR157
Aphaenogaster tretae Forel, 1886	x	x	-	-
Carebara longii (Wheeler, 1903)	x	-	-	-
Crematogaster laeviuscula Mayr, 1870	x	x	x	KAR158
Crematogaster lineolata (Say, 1836)	x	x	x	KAR159
Crematogaster minutissima missuriensis Emery, 1895	x	x	x	KAR160
Monomorium minimum (Buckley, 1867)	x	x	x	KAR161
Myrmecina americana Emery, 1895	x	x	x*	KAR162
Pheidole bicarinata Mayr, 1870	x	x	x	KAR163; KAR164
Pheidole cockerelli Wheeler, 1908	x	x	x*	KAR165; KAR166
Pheidole dentata Mayr, 1886	x	x	x	KAR167; KAR168
Pheidole hyatti Emery, 1895	x	x	x	KAR169; KAR170
Pheidole pilifera (Roger, 1863)	x	x	x*	KAR171; KAR172
Pheidole soritis Wheeler, 1908	x	x	x	KAR173; KAR174
Pheidole tetra Creighton, 1950	x	x	x**	KAR175; KAR176
Pheidole sp. 1	x	x	x	KAR177
Pogonomyrmex barbatus (Smith, 1858)	x	x	x	KAR178
Pogonomyrmex desertorum Wheeler, 1902	x	x	-	-
Pogonomyrmex imberbiculus Wheeler, 1902	x	x	-	-
Solenopsis aurea Wheeler, 1906	x	x	x**	KAR179
Solenopsis molesta (Say, 1836)	x	x	x*	KAR180
Solenopsis xyloni McCook, 1879	x	x	x	KAR181
Temnothorax pergandei (Emery, 1895)	x	x	x	KAR182
Temnothorax schaumii (Roger, 1863)	x	x	x	KAR183
Temnothorax texanus (Wheeler, 1903)	x	x	-	-
Trachmyrmex septentrionalis (McCook, 1881)	x	x	x	KAR184
Ponerinae				
Hypoponera opacior (Forel, 1893)	x	x	x	KAR185
Species richness	51	47	37	



Figure 7–15. Photographs of ants with new distributional records at the Wichita Mountain Wildlife Refuge. **7:** *Aphaenogaster fulva*. **8:** *Aphaenogaster tennesseensis*. **9:** *Aphaenogaster texana*. **10:** *Brachymyrmex depilis*. **11:** *Myrmecina americana*. **12:** *Nylanderia terricola*. **13:** *Solenopsis aurea*. **14:** *Solenopsis molesta*. **15:** *Tapinoma sessile*.

on the dorsum (Mackay and Mackay 2002). Specimens were collected in the leaf litter of an Oak forest at the Job Corps Center.

Brachymyrmex depilis Emery, 1893

Brachymyrmex heeri subsp. *depilis* Emery (1893): 635. — Wheeler and Wheeler (1953a): 139.
Brachymyrmex nanellus Wheeler (1903a): 102. — Creighton (1950): 359.
Brachymyrmex depilis subsp. *flavescens* Grundmann (1952): 117. — Cole (1953): 266.

Material examined: KAR146; Figure 10; Table 2.

A minute species, coloration yellowish brown. Members of this genus are easily distinguished from other formicines by their 9-segmented antennae (Mackay and Mackay 2002). Specimens were collected in Winkler samples from leaf litter at Osage Lake.

Camponotus americanus Mayr, 1862

Camponotus americanus Mayr (1862): 661. — Emery (1893): 674; Wheeler and Wheeler (1968): 216.
Camponotus castaneus st. *rufinasis* Santschi (1936): 204. — Creighton (1950): 365.

Material examined: KAR147 and KAR150; Table 2.

While variable in color, specimens are generally yellow to brown with a black head. Nests were found in rotting logs or stumps. This species was collected at four of the five forest pitfall transects and Sunset Park. A large colony was found at the Refuge Headquarters at the base of a dead tree and contained a large number of major workers.

Camponotus decipiens Emery, 1893

Camponotus marginatus var. *decipiens* Emery (1893): 676. — Wheeler (1910): 227.
Camponotus fallax subsp. *rasilis* Wheeler (1910): 227. — Snelling (1988): 66.

Material examined: KAR148; Table 2.

Coloration is generally red to brown with a black gaster. Specimens of this species were collected by hand on trees at Jed Johnson Lake and the Refuge Headquarters.

Camponotus pennsylvanicus (De Geer, 1773)

Formica penslyvanica De Geer (1773): 603. — Wheeler and Wheeler (1953b): 187.
Camponotus herculeanus var. *herculeanopennsylvanicus* Forel (1879): 57. — Creighton (1950): 367.

Material examined: KAR149; Table 2.

A common, large black ant that is found in the eastern United States. Long, erect hairs are abundant on the gaster. While observed in various forest locations across the WMWR, only one of our forest pitfall transects, Apache Lake, contained workers from this species.

Crematogaster laeviuscula Mayr, 1870

Crematogaster laeviuscula Mayr (1870): 993. — Wheeler (1908): 480; Petralia and Vinson (1980): 383.

Ocecodoma (*Atta*) *arborea* Buckley (1867): 349. — Smith (1951): 809.
Crematogaster clara Mayr (1870): 993. — Creighton (1950): 210; Johnson (1988): 322.

Material examined: KAR158; Table 2.

Workers have a smooth and shining red body with a black gaster. Propodeal spines are well developed and the dorsum of the pronotum is finely sculptured (Mackay and Mackay 2002). Workers were collected by hand and in a pitfall trap at two oak forests: Sunset Park and Jed Johnson Lake.

Crematogaster lineolata (Say, 1836)
Myrmeica lineolata Say (1836): 290. — Wheeler and Wheeler (1952): 250.
Myrmica (*Monomorium*) *columbiana* Buckley (1867): 340. — Mayr (1886b): 462.
Myrmica (*Monomorium*) *marylandica* Buckley (1867): 339. — Mayr (1886b): 462.
Myrmica novaeboracensis Buckley (1867): 337. — Mayr (1886b): 462.

Material examined: KAR159; Table 2.

Rather dull in appearance with dark red to blackish coloration. Hairs are present on the dorsal portion of the pronotum, mesonotum, and propodeum, and with rough sculpturing on the lateral portion of the mesosoma. Abundant and present at all transects except Quanah Parker Lake. This species reached especially high numbers in grasslands in the interior of the refuge, such as the Visitor Center.

Crematogaster minutissima missuriensis Emery, 1895
Crematogaster victima subsp. *missuriensis* Emery (1895): 287. — Wheeler and Wheeler (1952): 260.

Material examined: KAR160; Table 2.

Light yellow in appearance with dark-edged terminal tergites. Compared to the other *Crematogaster* collected, this species appears less robust and has shorter propodeal spines. Behaviorally, individuals seem timid and dominated by *Pheidole* at baits. This species is currently a subspecies of *Crematogaster minutissima* (Creighton 1950). Collected in three of the five grassland transects as well as by hand at Sunset Park.

Dorymyrmex flavus McCook, 1879
Dorymyrmex flavus McCook (1879): 188. — Cockendolpher and Franke (1984): 349; Johnson (1989): 190; Snelling (1995): 5.

Material examined: KAR141; Table 2.

Pale yellow to brown with a slightly darker head compared to their body. The propodeum has a single vertical tooth (Fisher and Cover 2007) distinguishing this genus from others in the Dolichoderinae subfamily. Specimens were collected by hand at the Refuge Headquarters, and on dirt roads in the special use area.

Forelius mccooki (McCook, 1879)
Iridomyrmex mccooki McCook (1879): 187. — Buckley (1866): 167; Cuezzo (2000): 249.
Forelius maccooki r. *andrei* Forel (1912): 44. — Smith (1979): 1419.

Material examined: KAR142; Table 2.

Coloration is light yellow with a small petiolar node. Distinguishable from *Forelius pruinosus* by the presence of erect hairs on the antennal scape. Specimens were collected at only one location, Apache Lake, near a dirt road.

Forelius pruinosus (Roger, 1863)
Tapinoma pruinosum Roger (1863): 165. — Wheeler and Wheeler (1951): 185.
Tapinoma anale André (1893): 148. — Ward (2005): 9.
Iridomyrmex pruinosus var. *testaceus* Cole (1936): 121. — Cuezzo (2000): 261.

Material examined: KAR143; Table 2.

Similar in appearance to *Forelius mccooki* but lacking erect hairs on the antennal scape. This species was present in high abundance at every grassland location and sometimes in tree gaps at forested sites. Individuals were observed foraging at high temperatures at Elmer Thomas Lake.

Formica pallidefulva Latreille, 1802
Formica pallidefulva Latreille (1802): 174. — Mayr (1866): 889; Emery (1893): 657.
Formica pallidefulva var. *nitidivenris* Emery (1893): 656. — Trager, MacGown, and Trager (2007): 625.
Formica pallidefulva var. *succinea* Wheeler (1904): 369. — Creighton (1950): 550.
Formica pallidefulva var. *delicata* Cole (1938): 369. — Creighton (1950): 551.

Material examined: KAR151; Table 2.

A large, yellow to orange conspicuous ant that is regularly found in a variety of habitats. Three ocelli are present and well developed in workers of this genus (Fisher and Cover 2007). Specimens were collected under a cluster of small trees in the middle of the Holy City site, foraging on the ground.

Hypoponera opacior (Forel, 1893)
Ponera trigona var. *opacior* Forel (1893): 363. — Emery (1895): 268; Wheeler and Wheeler (1964): 454.

Material examined: KAR185; Table 2

Small, dark and variable in color. Colonies of this species routinely inhabit forests and prey on small soil invertebrates. Distinguishable from the genus *Ponera* by the lack of a complex subpetiolar process (Fisher and Cover 2007). Specimens were found in the forest transects of Apache Lake and Quanah Parker Lake.

Lasius neoniger Emery, 1893
Lasius niger var. *neoniger* Emery (1893): 639.

Material examined: KAR152; Table 2.

Brown, with large eyes (Mackay and Mackay 2002). This species is common and widespread in the United States. Specimens were collected by hand and pitfall traps in the grass at Jed Johnson Lake.

Monomorium minimum (Buckley, 1867)
Myrmica (*Monomorium*) *minima* Buckley (1867): 338. — Emery

(1895): 274; Wheeler and Wheeler (1955): 122; Crozier (1970): 116.
Mrymica (*Monomorium*) *atra* Buckley (1867): 342. — Emery (1895): 274.
Monomorium metoecus Brown and Wilson (1957) 239. — Ettershank (1966): 90.

Material examined: KAR161; Table 2.

Small, black and shiny. Members of this genus have 12-segmented antennae with a 3-segmented antennal club and a bicarinate clypeus (Dubois 1986). This species is present in almost every habitat and was found at every location.

Myrmecina americana Emery, 1895
Myrmecina americana Emery (1895): 271. — Wheeler and Wheeler (1954): 130.
Myrmecina californica Smith (1948): 239. — Brown (1967): 236.
Myrmecina americana subsp. *brevispinosa* Creighton (1950): 249. — Brown (1967): 236.

Material examined: KAR162; Figure 11; Table 2.

Small, dark red and brown. A subcylindrical to subquadrate petiole with an absent dorsal node and two sets of propodeal teeth help distinguish this genus from other myrmicine ants (Fisher and Cover 2007). This species is a predator of soil invertebrates, specifically oribatid mites (Fisher and Cover 2007). Specimens were only found in sifted leaf litter from one location, Osage Lake.

Neivamyrmex nigrescens (Cresson, 1872)
Labidus nigrescens Cresson (1872): 194. — Wheeler (1900a): 563; Smith (1942): 551; Wheeler and Wheeler (1984): 273.
Eciton (*Acamatus*) *schmitti* Emery (1895): 261. — Smith (1938): 160; Borgmeier (1955): 494.

Material examined: KAR145; Table 2.

Army ants with simple tarsal claws (Snelling and Snelling 2007). Coloration can be variable and the two raiding columns observed had workers that ranged from light to dark reddish brown. Workers were collected early in the morning during raids on a *Pheidole* species at the Holy City.

Nylanderia terricola (Buckley, 1866)
Formica (*Tapinoma*) *terricola* Buckley (1866): 168
Prenolepis melanderi Wheeler (1903a): 104. — Trager (1984): 81.

Material examined: KAR153; Figure 12; Table 2.

Yellow to brown, with head and gaster generally darker than the mesosoma (Kallal and LaPolla 2012). Long, coarse setae are conspicuous on the dorsal side of the mesosoma. Specimens were collected in pitfall traps at Quanah Parker Lake, the Refuge Headquarters, the Job Corps Center, and the Visitor Center.

Pheidole bicarinata Mayr, 1870
Pheidole bicarinata Mayr (1870): 989.
Pheidole vinelandica var. *longula* Emery (1895): 292. — Wilson (2003): 561.
Pheidole hayesi Smith (1924): 251. — Creighton (1950): 171.

Material examined: KAR163 and KAR164; Table 2.

Small, and variable coloration based on geography. In majors, the anterior clypeal margin is bidentate and the basal face of the epinotum is covered with transverse striae (Wilson 2003).

Pheidole cockerelli Wheeler, 1908
Pheidole cockerelli Wheeler (1908): 464.

Material examined: KAR165 and KAR166; Figures 16–17; Table 2.

Red to brown. Pronotal rugae of majors are reticulate (Mackay and Mackay 2002). Found only in the grasslands at the Visitor Center and Holy City.

Pheidole dentata Mayr, 1886
Pheidole morrisi var. *dentata* Mayr (1886b): 457. — Forel (1901): 351; Wheeler and Wheeler (1953c): 71; Crozier (1970): 117.
Pheidole commutata Mayr (1886b): 459. — Creighton (1950): 178.

Material examined: KAR167 and KAR168; Table 2.

Minors are bicolored with dark head and gaster. The mesonotum of major workers is depressed below the pronotum forming a step between the pronotum and the propodeum. This species is common, widespread and occupies a variety of habitats. Specimens were collected at every forest transect and three of the five grassland transects.

Pheidole hyatti Emery, 1895
Pheidole hyatti Emery (1895): 295. — Wheeler (1908): 463; Wheeler and Wheeler (1953c): 74; Wheeler and Wheeler (1972): 244; Taber and Cockendolpher (1988): 95.
Pheidole vaslitii Pergande (1896): 883. — Ward (2000): 94.

Material examined: KAR169 and KAR170; Table 2.

Light yellow to brown. Majors have long antennal scapes that are flattened near the base (Mackay and Mackay 2002). This location represents one of the northernmost occurrences in the eastern part of *Pheidole hyatti*'s range. Specimens were collected in grasslands at Elmer Thomas Lake and Quetone Point as well as in tree gaps at the Refuge Headquarters and Quanah Parker Lake.

Pheidole pilifera (Roger, 1863)
Leptothorax pilifer Roger (1863): 180. — Emery (1895): 290; Wheeler and Wheeler (1953c): 79.
Pheidole pennsylvanica Roger (1863): 199. — Emery (1895): 290.

Material examined: KAR171 and KAR172; Figures 18–19; Table 2.

Minors and majors dark brown, often with a reddish head. The antennal scape of major workers does not reach the occipital angles and is not bent at the base, nor flattened. This species is widespread and occupies a variety of habitats (Wilson 2003). Specimens were collected on a dirt road near Comanche Lake.

Pheidole soritis Wheeler, 1908
Pheidole soritis Wheeler (1908): 439.
Pheidole sitarches Wheeler (1908): 440. — Wilson (2003): 598.

Material examined: KAR173 and KAR174; Table 2.



Figure 16–21. Photographs of ants with new distributional records at the Wichita Mountain Wildlife Refuge. **16:** Major of *Pheidole cockerelli*. **17:** Minor of *Pheidole cockerelli*. **18:** Major of *Pheidole pilifera*. **19:** Minor of *Pheidole pilifera*. **20:** Major of *Pheidole tetra*. **21:** Minor of *Pheidole tetra*.

Light to dark brown. Majors with short, not bent antennal scapes. Minors have hairs on the dorsum of the mesosoma that are blunt tipped (Mackay and Mackay 2002). Specimens were collected in every grassland transect, but never found in any forested location.

Pheidole sp. 1

Material examined: KAR177; Table 2.

Small and yellow. Workers have 12-segmented antennae with a 3-segmented club. The pronotum and mesonotum are smooth and humped until reaching the propodeum where small, short spines are present. Only a couple of minor workers from *Pheidole* sp. 1 were collected at the Quanah Parker Lake, Jed Johnson Lake, Apache Lake, and Job Corps Center forest transects. As majors were never found, this species cannot currently be assigned an official name. However, minors from this species are morphologically distinct from all of the other *Pheidole* minors collected at the WMWR.

Pheidole tetra Creighton, 1950

Pheidole crassicornis subsp. *tetra* Creighton (1950): 176. — Wilson (2003): 161.

Material examined: KAR175 and KAR176; Figures 20–21; Table 2.

Small size with coloration that is reddish brown. In majors, the base of the scape is flat with a slightly concave dorsal surface (Mackay and Mackay 2002). Only a few specimens were collected on dirt roads and pitfall traps at Quanah Parker Lake and the Holy City.

Pogonomyrmex barbatus (Smith, 1858)

Myrmica barbata Smith (1858): 130. — Mayr (1870): 971; Mayr (1887): 610; Wheeler (1900b): 20; Taber, Cokendolpher and Franke (1988): 51.

Myrmica (*Atta*) *molefaciens* Buckley (1860): 445. — Mayr (1886a): 365.

Material examined: KAR178; Table 2

Large, red and conspicuous. This species clears vegetation around their large nests, and regularly places small rocks around the nest entrance. While the only transect to contain this species was outside the Visitor Center, individuals were regularly observed in most grasslands and Prairie dog towns on the refuge.

Prenolepis imparis (Say, 1836)

Formica imparis Say (1836): 287. — Wheeler (1930): 16; Wheeler and Wheeler (1953a): 142; Hauschteck (1962): 219.

Formica (*Tapinoma*) *wichita* Buckley (1866): 169. — Dalla Torre (1893): 178; Wheeler (1930): 15.

Prenolepis nitens var. *americana* Forel (1891): 94. — Emery (1893): 635; Dalla Torre (1893): 178.

Prenolepis imparis var. *minuta* Emery (1893): 636. — Creighton (1950): 414.

Prenolepis imparis var. *testacea* Emery (1893): 636. — Creighton (1950): 414.

Prenolepis imparis var. *californica* Wheeler (1930): 23. — Wheeler and Wheeler (1986): 14.

Prenolepis imparis var. *pumila* Wheeler (1930): 21. — Creighton (1950): 414.

Material examined: KAR154; Table 2.

Brown to dark brown. Only one species in this genus is currently recognized from North America (Fisher and Cover 2007). Specimens were collected by hand in September at Sunset Park and a single individual was collected in a pitfall trap at the Job Corps Center. This species is commonly found in forested areas during cooler months (Tschinkel 1987).

Solenopsis aurea Wheeler, 1906

Solenopsis geminate var. *aurea* Wheeler (1906): 336. — Snelling (1963): 6; Crozier (1970): 116.
Solenopsis huachucana Wheeler (1915): 393. — Trager (1991): 170.

Material examined: KAR179; Figure 13; Table 2.

Yellow to light brown. Some specimens from Buford Lake were light yellow. Distinguishable from *Solenopsis xyloni* by morphological characteristics such as fewer eye facets and tergites margined with brown (Trager 1991). Most large workers had carinal teeth, relatively abundant pilosity, and dark-edged tergites. Specimens were primarily collected near a dirt road at Quanah Parker Lake.

Solenopsis molesta (Say, 1836)

Myrmica molesta Say (1836): 293. — Wheeler and Wheeler (1955): 134; Petralia and Vinson (1980): 383; Crozier (1970): 116.
Myrmica minuta Say (1836): 294. — Emery (1895): 277.
Myrmica (*Tetramorium*) *exigua* Buckley (1867): 342. — Emery (1895): 277.
Solenopsis debilis Mayr (1886b): 461. — Emery (1895): 277.

Material examined: KAR180; Figure 14; Table 2.

Small, light yellow. Thief ants belong to the same genus as fire ants and have 10-segmented antennae with a 2-segmented apical club. This species is common and abundantly present at every site throughout the refuge.

Solenopsis xyloni McCook, 1879

Solenopsis xyloni McCook (1879): 188. — Wheeler (1915): 396; Wheeler and Wheeler (1955): 133; Taber and Cokendolpher (1988): 95.

Material examined: KAR181; Table 2

Red to dark brown with a black gaster. This is a native North American ant species that is commonly referred to as the southern fire ant. Although similar in appearance to the invasive fire ant, *Solenopsis invicta*, this species has smaller colonies, lacks a median clypeal tooth, and is less aggressive. Specimens were collected under logs and rocks or in the soil at most locations that were near a lake or a man-made structure.

Tapinoma sessile (Say, 1836)

Formica sessilis Say (1836): 287. — Emery (1895): 333; Wheeler and Wheeler (1951): 196; Crozier (1970): 119; Taber and Cokendolpher (1988): 95.
Tapinoma boreale Roger (1863): 165. — Creighton (1950): 353.
Formica gracilis Buckley (1866): 158. — Emery (1895): 337; Wheeler (1902): 20.
Formica parva Buckley (1866): 159. — Mayr (1886b): 434.
Bothriomyrmex dimmocki Wheeler (1915): 417. — Shattuck (1992): 153.

Material examined: KAR144; Figure 15; Table 2.

Widespread and common in a variety of habitats. This ant species has a vestigial petiolar node with the fifth gastral tergite reflexed ventrally (Fisher and Cover 2007). Only a single specimen was collected by hand at Buford Lake.

Temnothorax pergandei (Emery, 1895)

Leptothorax (*Dichothorax*) *pergandei* Emery (1895): 323. — Wheeler (1903b): 257; Wheeler and Wheeler (1960): 23.
Leptothorax (*Dichothorax*) *floridanus* Emery (1895): 324. — Mackay (1993): 289.
Leptothorax (*Dichothorax*) *manni* Wesson (1935): 208. — Wesson (1939): 180.

Material examined: KAR182; Table 2

Dark brown head and gaster with lighter mesosoma, sculpturing, and size with a 12-segmented antennae and 3-segmented apical club (Mackay 1993). Propodeal spines are small and appear to extend directly upwards. Only three individuals were collected in a pitfall trap at the Refuge Headquarters.

Temnothorax schaumii (Roger, 1863)

Leptothorax schaumii Roger (1863): 180. — Mayr (1886b): 451; Wesson and Wesson (1940): 95; Wheeler and Wheeler (1960): 23.
Leptothorax fortinodis Mayr (1886b): 452. — Creighton (1950): 271.

Material examined: KAR183; Table 2

Small, dark brown to black, with 11-segmented antennae and small propodeal spines. Individuals were observed foraging solitarily on oak trees in forested locations.

Trachmyrmex septentrionalis (McCook, 1881)

Atta septentrionalis McCook (1881): 362. — Wheeler (1907): 707; Wheeler (1949): 673.
Oecodoma virginiana Buckley (1867): 346. — Wheeler (1902): 29.

Material examined: KAR184; Table 2

Light red to light yellow-brown. Tubercles present on head, mesonotal dorsum, petiole and postpetiole dorsum, and gaster (Rabeling et al. 2007). A somewhat common and widespread fungus gardening ant. Specimens were collected in pitfall traps and by hand at Quetone Point and Jed Johnson Lake.

DISCUSSION

Fifty-one native ant species from 22 genera are now known from Comanche County, Oklahoma (Table 2). Forty-seven of these reside in the WMWR with inconsistencies occurring due to an absence of *Camponotus discolor* (Buckley 1866), *Camponotus nearcticus* Emery 1893, *Carebara longii* (Wheeler 1903), and *Neivamyrmex punctaticeps* (Emery 1894). This new list represents a 38% increase in species richness over Wheeler and Wheeler's list (1989) due to 12 new county records including the new state records of *Pheidole tetra* and *Solenopsis aurea* (Figures 7–21). In addition to adding to the checklist of the WMWR, the 2015 surveys also recaptured 20 of the 30 previously collected species, only failing to find



Figure 22. Species richness of ants across the 77 counties of Oklahoma.

Aphaenogaster treatae Forel 1886, *Camponotus semitestaceus* Snelling 1970, *Dorymyrmex insanus* (Buckley 1866), *Formica dolosa* Buren 1944, *Lasius interjectus* Mayr 1866, *Lasius latipes* (Walsh 1863), *Myrmecocystus melliger* Forel 1886, *Pogonomyrmex desertorum* Wheeler 1902, *Pogonomyrmex imberbiculus* Wheeler 1902, and *Temnothorax texanus* (Wheeler 1903).

When compared to the last compiled ant checklist for the state of Oklahoma (Wheeler and Wheeler 1989), the WMWR astonishingly supports 68% (21 of 31) of the known genera and 54% (47 of 87) of the known species. In stark contrast, the adjacent Oklahoma counties of Caddo, Cotton, Grady, Kiowa, Stephens, and Tilman appear depauperate containing only 31, 10, 19, 7, 0, and 6 native ant species. Expanding this comparison to all of Oklahoma suggests that the WMWR may be the most speciose area in the entire state as the next richest counties report only 41, 34, and 31 ant species respectively (Wheeler and Wheeler 1989; Albrecht 1995). Though this new checklist highlights the importance of the WMWR in conserving the native ant fauna, it also illuminates a problem.

Oklahoma has historically been ignored by myrmecologists. Of the 77 counties in the state, 66% (51 of 77) have reported 10 or fewer species of ants (Figure 22). Scaling up the assessment to Oklahoma and its adjacent states reveals that Texas (337 species), New Mexico (328 species), Colorado (226 species), Arkansas (147 species), Missouri (144 species), and Kansas (125 species) all appear to be more speciose (GABI 2016). Undeniably, factors such as habitat modification and the introduction of non-native species can contribute to lower levels of ant diversity (Sanders et al. 2003; Andersen and Majer 2004; Tillberg et al. 2007), yet the discrepancy is likely due to low sampling effort. As an example, new state records at both the genus and subfamily level have recently been reported for ants in Marshall County, Oklahoma (Roeder and Roeder 2015; Roeder et al. 2015). Despite these findings and the county's potential for high species richness, previous published records include a paltry checklist of only five ant species (Wheeler and Wheeler 1989).

One approach towards resolving the sampling effort conundrum is the use of survey methods that are repeatable across habitat types (Agosti et al. 2000; Gotelli et al. 2011). Pitfall traps, while limited in sampling the arboreal ant fauna, are useful in capturing ground foraging insects in forests and grasslands (Andersen 1991; Steiner et al. 2005). We found that across 10 different sites, pitfall traps accounted for 68% (25 of the 37) of all ant species from our 2015 survey. Moreover, pitfall traps provide snapshots of ant abundance that may be useful in determining dominant or keystone species (Porter and Savignano 1990; Human and Gordon 1997; Resasco et al. 2014). For example, *Crematogaster lineolata*, a common acrobat ant with potentially large colonies (Whitford and Gentry 1981), was responsible for the majority of the observed difference in ant abundance between habitat types. This species made up 35% of all individuals in pitfall traps, but was disproportionally collected in grasslands (*C. lineolata* abundance: N_{Forest} = 84; $N_{Grassland}$ = 1478). As invasive species management is a priority for wildlife refuges, *C. lineolata* is of particular interest as a previous study found its abundance was negatively correlated with the invasive *S. invicta* (Stuble et al. 2009).

Ant diversity from the WMWR highlights the role of protected areas such as National Wildlife Refuges in conserving regional fauna. Not only have most of the historically collected species from the past 100 years been conserved, but the area has resisted, to date, one of the most notorious invasive species, *S. invicta*. Given the variety of ecoregions and environmental gradients found in Oklahoma, we suggest that more species inventories should be conducted, especially in the numerous under-sampled areas of the state.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank D. T. McDonald for help with obtaining a permit to collect ants at the Wichita Mountains Wildlife Refuge and M. Kaspari for laboratory space. We would also like to thank everyone that encouraged us during this project. This work was funded by a University of Oklahoma Graduate Student Senate research grant awarded to K. A. Roeder.

LITERATURE CITED

Agosti, D., J.D. Majer, L.E. Alonso and T.R. Schultz. 2000. Ants: standard methods for measuring and monitoring biodiversity. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press. 248 pp.

Albrecht, M. 1995. New species distributions of ants in Oklahoma, including a South American invader. Proceedings of the Oklahoma Academy of Sciences 75: 21–24.

Andersen, A.N. 1991. Sampling communities of ground-foraging ants: pitfall catches compared with quadrat counts in an Australian tropical savanna. Australian Journal of Ecology 16(3): 273–279. doi: 10.1111/j.1442-9993.1991.tb01054.x

Andersen, A.N. and J.D. Majer. 2004. Ants show the way down under: invertebrates as bioindicators in land management. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 2(6): 291–298. doi: 10.1890/1540-9295(2004)002[0292:ASTWDU]2.0.CO;2

André, E. 1893. Description de quatre espèces nouvelles de fourmis d'Amérique. Revue d'Entomologie 12: 148–152. http://biodiversitylibrary.org/page/10989686

Bolton, B. 1995. A new general catalogue of the ants of the world. Cambridge: Harvard University Press. 512 pp.

Bolton, B., G. Alpert, P.S. Ward and P. Naskrecki. 2006. Bolton's catalogue of ants of the world: 1758–2005. Cambridge: Harvard University Press. CD-ROM.

Borgmeier, T. 1955. Die Wanderameisen der Neotropischen region. Studia Entomologica 3: 1–720.

Brown, W.L., Jr. 1949. Synonymic and other notes on Formicidae (Hymenoptera). Psyche 56: 41–49.

Brown, W.L., Jr. 1967. Studies on North American ants. 2. *Myrmecina*. Entomological News 78: 233–240.

Brown, W.L., Jr. and E.O. Wilson. 1957. A new parasitic ant of the genus *Monomorium* from Alabama, with a consideration of the status of genus *Epixenus* Emery. Entomological News 68: 239–246. http://biodiversitylibrary.org/page/2739418

Bruner, W.E. 1931. The vegetation of Oklahoma. Ecological Monographs 1(2): 99–188. http://www.jstor.org/stable/1943089

Buck, P. 1964. Relationships of the woody vegetation of the Wichita Mountains Wildlife Refuge to geological formations and soil types. Ecology 45(2): 336–344. http://www.jstor.org/stable/1933846

Buckley, S.B. 1860. *Myrmica (Atta) molefaciens*, "Stinging Ant", or "Mound-Making Ant", of Texas. Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia 12: 445–447.

Buckley, S.B. 1866. Descriptions of new species of North American Formicidae. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Philadelphia 6: 152–172. http://biodiversitylibrary.org/page/3831292

Buckley, S.B. 1867. Descriptions of new species of North American Formicidae. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Philadelphia 6: 335–350. http://biodiversitylibrary.org/page/3831093

Chase, G.W., E.A. Frederickson and W.E. Ham. 1956. Resume of the Geology of the Wichita Mountains, Oklahoma; pp. 36–55, in: I.C. Hicks, J. Westheimer, C.W. Tomlinson, D.M. Putman and E.L. Selk (eds.). Petroleum geology of southern Oklahoma. Tulsa: American Association of Petroleum Geologists.

Cokendolpher, J.C. and O.F. Francke. 1984. Karyotype of *Conomyrma flava* (McCook) (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). New York Entomological Society 92(4): 349–351.

Cole, A.C. Jr. 1936. Descriptions of seven new western ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Entomological News 47: 118–121.

Cole, A.C., Jr. 1938. Descriptions of new ants from the western United States. American Midland Naturalist 20: 368–373.

Cole, A.C. Jr. 1953. *Brachymyrmex depilis* subsp. *flavescens* Grundmann a synonym of *Brachymyrmex depilis* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Entomological News 64: 266.

Creighton, W.S. 1950. The ants of North America. Cambridge: Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology of Harvard College 104: 585 pp. http://biodiversitylibrary.org/page/2792611

Cresson, E.T. 1872. Hymenoptera Texana. Transactions of the American Entomological Society 4: 153–292. doi: 10.5962/bhl.title.9428

Crozier, R.H. 1970. Karyotypes of twenty-one ant species (Hymenoptera: Formicidae), with reviews of the known ant karyotypes. Canadian Journal of Genetics and Cytology 12(1): 109–128. doi: 10.1139/g70-018

Cuezzo, F. 2000. Revisión del género *Forelius*. Sociobiology 35(2): 197–277.

Dalla Torre, C.G. de. 1893. Catalogus Hymenopterorum, hucusque descriptorum systematicus et synonymicus VII. Lipsiae: G. Engelmann. 289 pp. doi: 10.5962/bhl.title.10348

De Geer, C. 1773. Mémoires pour servir à l'histoire des insectes. Stockholm: Pierre Hesselberg. 696 pp. doi: 10.5962/bhl.title.14802

Del Toro, I., R.R. Ribbons and S.L. Pelini. 2012. The little things that run the world revisited: a review of ant–mediated ecosystem services and disservices (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Myrmecological News 17: 133–146.

Diamond, D.D. and L.F. Elliott. 2015. Oklahoma ecological systems mapping interpretive booklet: methods, short type descriptions, and summary results. Norman: Oklahoma Department of Wildlife Conservation. 233 pp.

Drummond, M.A., R.F. Auch, K.A. Karstensen, K.L. Sayler, J.L. Taylor and T.R. Loveland. 2012. Land change variability and human–environment dynamics in the United States Great Plans. Land Use Policy 29(3): 710–723. doi: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2011.11.007

Dubois, M.B. 1986. A revision of the native New World species of the ant genus *Monomorium* (*minimum* group) (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). University of Kansas Science Bulletin 53(2): 65–119. http://biodiversitylibrary.org/page/2972544

Emery, C. 1893. Beiträge zur Kenntniss der nordamerikanischen Ameisenfauna. Zoologische Jahrbucher Abtheilung fur Systematik, Geographie und Biologie der Thiere 7: 633–682. http://biodiversitylibrary.org/page/39199711

Emery, C. 1894. Studi sulle formiche della fauna Neotropica. Bullettino della Società Entomologica Italiana 26: 137–241. http://biodiversitylibrary.org/page/10362465

Emery, C. 1895. Beiträge zur Kenntniss der nordamerikanischen Ameisenfauna. (Schluss.) Zoologische Jahrbücher. Abtheilung für Systematik, Geographie und Biologie der Tiere 8: 257–360. http://biodiversitylibrary.org/page/10339812

Enzmann, J. 1947. New forms of *Aphaenogaster* and *Novomessor*. Journal of the New York Entomological Society 55(2): 147–153. http://www.jstor.org/stable/25005212

Ettershank, G. 1966. A generic revision of the world Myrmicinae related to *Solenopsis* and *Pheidologeton* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Australian Journal of Zoology 14: 73–171. doi: 10.1071/ZO9660073

Fisher, B.L. and S.P. Cover. 2007. Ants of North America: a guide to genera. Berkeley: University of California Press. 194 pp.

Forel, A. 1879. Études myrmécologiques en 1879 (deuxième partie [1re partie en 1878]). Bulletin de la Societe Vaudoise des Sciences Naturelles 16: 53–128.

Forel, A. 1891. Les Formicides; pp. 1–231, in: A. Grandidier (ed.). Histoire physique, naturelle et politique de Madagascar 20. Histoire naturelle des hyménoptères. 2 (fascicule 28). Paris: l'Imprimerie

Nationale. doi: 10.5281/zenodo.9896
Forel, A. 1893. Formicides de l'antille St. Vincent. Récoltées par Mons. H.H.Smith. Transactions of the Entomological Society of London 1893: 333–418. http://biodiversitylibrary.org/page/14680372
Forel, A. 1901. Variétés myrmécologiques. Annales de la Société Entomologique de Belgique 45: 334–382.
Forel, A. 1912. Formicides Néotropiques. Part 5. 4me sous-famille Dolichoderinae Forel. Mémoires de la Société Entomologique de Belgique 20: 33–58.
GABI (The Global Ant Biodiversity Informatics Project). 2016. The Global Ant Biodiversity Informatics Project. Accessed at http://antmaps.org, 4 February 2016.
Gotelli, N.J., A.M. Ellison, R.R. Dunn and N.J. Sanders. 2011. Counting ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae): biodiversity sampling and statistical analysis for myrmecologists. Myrmecological News 15: 13–19.
Grundmann, A.W. 1952. A new *Brachymyrmex* from northern Utah. The Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society 25(3): 117.
Hauschteck, E. 1962. Die Chromosomen einiger in der Schweiz vorkommender Ameisenarten. Vierteljahrsschrift der Naturforschenden Gesellschaft in Zürich 107: 213–220. http://www.ngzh.ch/archiv/1962_107/107_4/107_22.pdf
Hendrickx, F., J.P. Maelfait, W. Van Wingerden, O. Schweiger, M. Speelmans, S. Aviron, I. Augenstein, R. Billeter, D. Bailey, R. Bukacek, F. Burel, T. Diekötter, J. Dirksen, F. Herzog, J. Liira, M. Roubalova, V. Vandomme and R. Bugter. 2007. How landscape structure, land–use intensity and habitat diversity affect components of total arthropod diversity in agricultural landscapes. Journal of Applied Ecology 44(2): 340–351. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2006.01270.x
Hoagland, B. 2000. The vegetation of Oklahoma: a classification for landscape mapping and conservation planning. The Southwestern Naturalist 45(4): 385–420. doi: 10.2307/3672589
Hölldobler, B. and E.O. Wilson. 1990. The ants. Cambridge: Harvard University Press. 732 pp.
Holway, D.A., L. Lach, A.V. Suarez, N.D. Tsutsui and T.J. Case. 2002. The causes and consequences of ant invasions. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 33: 181–233. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.33.010802.150444
Human, K.G. and D.M. Gordon. 1997. Effects of Argentine ants on invertebrate biodiversity in northern California. Conservation Biology 11(5): 1242–1248. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1739.1997.96264.x
Johnson, C. 1988. Species identification in the eastern *Crematogaster* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Journal of Entomological Science 23: 314–332.
Johnson, C. 1989. Taxonomy and diagnosis of *Conomyrma insana* (Buckley) and *C. flava* (McCook) (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Insecta Mundi 3(3): 179–194.
Kallal, R.J. and J.S. LaPolla. 2012. Monograph of *Nylanderia* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) of the world, part II: *Nylanderia* in the Nearctic. Zootaxa 3508: 1–64. http://www.mapress.com/zootaxa/list/2012/3508.html
Kaspari, M. and S. O'Donnell. 2003. High rates of army ant raids in the Neotropics and implications for ant colony and community structure. Evolutionary Ecology Research 5: 933–939.
Krebs, J.R., J.D. Wilson, R.B. Bradbury and G.M. Siriwardena. 1999. The second Silent Spring? Nature 400: 611–612. doi: 10.1038/23127
Lach, L., C.L. Parr and K.L. Abott. 2010. Ant ecology. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 432 pp.
Latreille, P. A. 1802. Histoire naturelle générale et particulière des Crustacés et des insectes. Tome 3. Paris: F. Dufart. 467 pp.
Mackay, W.P. 1993. The status of the ant *Leptothorax pergandei* Emery. Sociobiology 21: 287–297.
Mackay, W. and E.E. Mackay. 2002. The ants of New Mexico (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Lewiston: The Edwin Mellen Press. 400 pp.
Matson, P.A., W.J. Parton, A.G. Power and M.J. Swift. 1997. Agricultural intensification and ecosystem properties. Science 277(5325): 504–509. doi: 10.1126/science.277.5325.504
Mayr, G. 1862. Myrmecologische Studien. Verhandlungen der k.k. Zoologisch-Botanischen Gesellschaft in Wien 12: 649–776.
Mayr, G. 1866. Diagnosen neuer und wenig gekannter Formiciden. Verhandlungen der k.k. Zoologisch-Botanischen Gesellschaft in Wien 16: 885–908.
Mayr, G. 1870. Neue Formiciden. Verhandlungen der k.k. Zoologisch-Botanischen Gesellschaft in Wien 20: 939–996.
Mayr, G. 1886a. Notizen über die Formiciden-Sammlung des British Museum in London. Verhandlungen der k.k. Zoologisch-Botanischen Gesellschaft in Wien 36: 353–368.
Mayr, G. 1886b. Die Formiciden der Vereinigten Staaten von Nordamerika. Verhandlungen der k.k. Zoologisch-Botanischen Gesellschaft in Wien 36: 419–464.
Mayr, G. 1887. Südamerikanische Formiciden. Verhandlungen der k.k. Zoologisch-Botanischen Gesellschaft in Wien 37: 511–632.
McCook, H.C. 1879. Family Formicariae; pp. 182–189, in J.H. Comstock (ed.). Report upon Cotton insects. Washington: Government Printing Office.
McCook, H.C. 1881. Note on a new northern cutting ant, *Atta septentrionalis*. Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia 32: 359–363.
Menozzi, C. 1929. Una nuova specie di formica del genere *Aphaenogaster* Mayr del Nord America. Bollettino del Laboratorio di Zoologia Generale ed Agraria del R. Istituto Superiore Agrario di Portici 22: 282–284.
Meretsky, V.J., R.L. Fischman, J.R. Karr, D.M. Ashe, J.M. Scott, R.F. Noss and R.L. Schroeder. 2006. New directions in conservation for the National Wildlife Refuge System. Bioscience 56(2): 135–143. doi: 10.1641/0006-3568(2006)056[0135:NDICFT]2.0.CO;2
OCS (Oklahoma Climatological Survey). 2016. Oklahoma Climatological Survey. Accessed at http://climate.ok.gov/, 4 February 2016.
Pergande, T. 1896. Mexican Formicidae. Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences 2(5): 858–896.
Petralia, R. S. and S.B. Vinson. 1980. Comparative anatomy of the ventral region of ant larvae, and its relation to feeding behavior. Psyche 86(4): 375–394. doi: 10.1155/1979/70316
Pogue, D.W. and G.D. Schnell. 2001. Effects of agriculture on habitat complexity in a prairie-forest ecotone in the Southern Great Plains of North America. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 87(3): 287–298. doi: 10.1016/S0167-8809(01)00150-5
Porter, S.D. and D.A. Savignano. 1990. Invasion of polygyne fire ants decimate native ants and disrupts arthropod community. Ecology 71(6): 2095–2106. http://www.jstor.org/stable/1938623
Rabeling, C., S.P. Cover, R.A. Johnson and U.G. Mueller. 2007. A review of the North American species of the fungus-gardening ant genus *Trachymyrmex* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Zootaxa 1664: 1–53. http://www.mapress.com/j/zt/article/view/4294
Resasco, J., N.M. Haddad, D.D. Shoemaker, J.L. Orrock, L.A. Brudvig, E.I. Damschen, J.J. Tewksbury and D.J. Levey. 2014. Landscape corridors can increase invasion by an exotic species and reduce diversity of native species. Ecology 95(8): 2033–2039. doi: 10.1890/14-0169.1
Roeder, K.A. and D.V. Roeder. 2015. New record of the ant subfamily Pseudomyrmecinae (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) from Oklahoma. The Southwestern Naturalist 60(4): 373–374. doi: 10.1894/0038-4909-60.4.373
Roeder, K.A., D.V. Roeder, J.D. Kouri and J.A. Helms IV. 2015. A new latitudinal record for the ant genus *Leptogenys* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) in North America. Southwestern Entomologist 40(3): 653–656. doi: 10.3958/059.040.0320
Roger, J. 1863. Die neu aufgeführten Gattungen und Arten meines Formiciden-Verzeichnisses, nebst Ergänzung einiger früher

gegeben Beschreibungen. Berliner Entomologische Zeitschrift 7: 131–214.

Sanders, N.J., N.J. Gotelli, N.E. Heller and D.M. Gordon. 2003. Community disassembly by an invasive species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 100(5): 2474–2477. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0437913100

Santschi, F. 1936. Contribution à l'étude des fourmis de l'Amérique du Sud. Revista de Entomologia 6: 196–218.

Say, T. 1836. Descriptions of new species of North American Hymenoptera, and observations on some already described. Boston Journal of Natural History 1: 209–305.

Shattuck, S.O. 1992. Generic revision of the ant subfamily Dolichoderinae (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Sociobiology 21(1): 1–181.

Smith, D.R. 1979. Superfamily Formicoidea; pp. 1323–1467, in: K.V. Krombein, P.D. Hurd, D.R. Smith and B.D. Burks (eds.). Catalog of Hymenoptera in America North of Mexico. Washington: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Smith, F. 1858. Catalogue of Hymenopterous insects in the collection of the British Museum. London: Order of the Trustees. 216 pp. doi: 10.5962/bhl.title.20999

Smith, M.R. 1924. A new species of ant from Kansas (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Entomological News 35: 250–253. http://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/part/12673

Smith, M.R. 1935. A list of ants of Oklahoma. Entomological News 46: 235–264.

Smith, M.R. 1938. Notes on the legionary ants (*Eciton*, subgenus *Acamatus*) with a record of new specific synonymy. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington. 40: 157–160.

Smith, M. R. 1942. The legionary ants of the United States belonging to *Eciton* subgenus *Neivamyrmex* Borgmeier. American Midland Naturalist 27(3): 537–590. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2420913

Smith, M.R. 1948. A new species of *Myrmecina* from California. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 50: 238–240.

Smith, M.R. 1951. Formicidae; pp. 778–875, in: C.F.W. Muesebeck, K.V. Krombein and H.K. Townes (eds.). Hymenoptera of America North of Mexico 2. Synoptic Catalog. Washington D.C.: United States Department of Agriculture. http://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/bibliography/65057#/summary

Snelling, R.R. 1963. The United States species of fire ants of the genus *Solenopsis* Westwood, subgenus *Solenopsis* Westwood, with synonymy of *Solenopsis aurea* Wheeler. Bureau of Entomology Occasional Paper. California Department of Agriculture 3: 1–11.

Snelling, R.R. 1970. Studies on California ants, 5. Revisionary notes on some species of *Camponotus*, subgenus *Tanaemyrmex*. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 72: 390–397.

Snelling, R.R. 1988. Taxonomic notes on Nearctic species of *Camponotus*, subgenus *Myrmentoma* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae); pp. 55–78, in: J.C. Trager (ed.). Advances in myrmecology. New York: E.J. Brill/W.S. Heinman.

Snelling, R.R. 1995. Systematics of Nearctic ants of the genus *Dorymyrmex* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Contributions in Science, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County 454: 1–14.

Snelling, G.C. and R.R. Snelling. 2007. New synonymy, new species, new keys to *Neivamyrmex* army ants of the United States; pp. 459–549, in: R.R. Snelling, B.L. Fisher, and P.S. Ward (eds.). Advances in ant systematics (Hymenoptera: Formicidae): Homage to E.O. Wilson — 50 years of contributions. Gainesville: Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute.

Sohl, T.E., B.M. Sleeter, K.L. Sayler, M.A. Bouchard, R.R. Reker, S.L. Bennett, R.R. Sleeter, R.L. Kanengieter and Z. Zhu. 2012. Spatially explicit land-use and land-cover scenarios for the Great Plains of the United States. Agriculture, Ecosystems, & Environment 153: 1–15. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2012.02.019

Steiner, F.M., B.C. Schlick-Steiner, K. Moder, A. Bruckner and E. Christian. 2005. Congruence of data from different trapping periods of ant pitfall catches (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Sociobiology 46(1): 105–116.

Stuble, K.L., L.K. Kirkman and C.R. Carroll. 2009. Patterns of abundance of fire ants and native ants in a native ecosystem. Ecological Entomology 34(4): 520–526. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2311.2009.01098.x

Taber, S.W. 1998. The world of the harvester ants. College Station: Texas A&M University Press. 248 pp.

Taber, S.W. and J.C. Cokendolpher. 1988. Karyotypes of a dozen ant species from the southwestern U.S.A (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Caryologia 41(2): 93–102.

Taber, S.W., J.C. Cokendolpher and O.F. Francke. 1988. Karyological study of North American *Pogonomyrmex* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Insectes Sociaux 35(1): 47–60.

Tillberg, C.V., D.A. Holway, E.G. Lebrun and A.V. Suarez. 2007. Trophic ecology of invasive Argentine ants in their native and introduced ranges. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 104(52): 20856–20861. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0706903105

Trager, J. C. 1984. A revision of the genus *Paratrechina* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) of the continental United States. Sociobiology 9(2): 49–162.

Trager, J.C. 1991. A revision of the fire ants, *Solenopsis geminata* group (Hymenoptera: Formicidae, Myrmicinae). Journal of the New York Entomological Society 99(2): 141–198. http://www.jstor.org/stable/25009890

Trager, J.C., J.A. MacGown and M.D. Trager. 2007. Revision of the Nearctic endemic *Formica pallidefulva* group; pp. 610–636, in: R.R. Snelling, B.L. Fisher, and P.S. Ward (eds.). Advances in ant systematics (Hymenoptera: Formicidae): Homage to E.O. Wilson – 50 years of contributions. Gainesville: Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute.

Tschinkel, W.R. 1987. Seasonal life history and nest architecture of a winter-active ant, *Prenolepis imparis*. Insectes Sociaux 34(3): 143–164. doi: 10.1007/BF02224081

Tschinkel, W.R. 2006. The fire ants. Cambridge: Harvard University Press. 752 pp.

Ward, P.S. 2000. On the identity of *Pheidole vaslitii* Pergande, a neglected ant from Baja California. Journal of Hymenoptera Research 9: 85–98.

Ward, P.S. 2005. A synoptic review of the ants of California (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Zootaxa 936: 1–8. http://www.mapress.com/j/zt/article/view/1366

Wesson, L.G. 1935. A new species of ant from Tennessee. Entomological News 46: 208–210.

Wesson, L.G. 1939. *Leptothorax manni* Wesson synonymous with *L. pergandei* Emery. Entomological News 50: 180.

Wesson, L.G. and R.G. Wesson. 1940. A collection of ants from southcentral Ohio. American Midland Naturalist 24: 89–106.

Wheeler, G.C. 1949. The larvae of the fungus-growing ants. American Midland Naturalist 40 (3): 664–689.

Wheeler, G.C. and J. Wheeler. 1951. The ant larvae of the subfamily Dolichoderinae. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 53: 169–210.

Wheeler, G. C. and J. Wheeler. 1952. The ant larvae of the myrmicine tribe Crematogastrini. Journal of the Washington Academy of Sciences 42: 248–262.

Wheeler, G.C. and J. Wheeler. 1953a. The ant larvae of the subfamily Formicinae. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 46: 126–171. doi: 10.1093/aesa/46.1.126

Wheeler, G. C. and J. Wheeler. 1953b. The ant larvae of the subfamily Formicinae. Part 2. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 46: 175–217.

Wheeler, G. C. and J. Wheeler. 1953c. The ant larvae of the myrmicine tribe Pheidolini (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Proceedings on the

Entomological Society of Washington 55: 49–84.
Wheeler, G. C. and J. Wheeler. 1954. The ant larvae of the myrmicine tribe Myrmecinini (Hymenoptera). Proceedings on the Entomological Society of Washington 56: 126–138.
Wheeler, G. C. and J. Wheeler. 1955. The ant larvae of the myrmicine tribe Solenopsidini. American Midland Naturalist 54: 119–141. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2422182
Wheeler, G. C. and J. Wheeler. 1960. Supplementary studies on the larvae of the Myrmicinae (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Proceedings on the Entomological Society of Washington 62: 1–32.
Wheeler, G.C. and J. Wheeler. 1964. The ant larvae of the subfamily Ponerinae: supplement. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 57: 443–462. doi: 10.1093/aesa/57.4.443
Wheeler, G. C. and J. Wheeler. 1968. The ant larvae of the subfamily Formicinae (Hymenoptera: Formicidae): supplement. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 61(1): 205–222. doi: 10.1093/aesa/61.1.205
Wheeler, G.C. and J. Wheeler. 1972. Ant larvae of the subfamily Myrmicinae: second supplement on the tribes Myrmicini and Pheidolini. Journal of the Georgia Entomological Society 7: 233–246.
Wheeler, G. C. and J. Wheeler. 1984. The larvae of the army ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae): a revision. Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society 57(2): 263–275. http://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/part/138467
Wheeler, G. C. and J. Wheeler. 1986. The ants of Nevada. Los Angeles: Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County. 138 pp.
Wheeler, G.C. and J. Wheeler. 1989. A checklist of the ants of Oklahoma. Prairie Naturalist 21: 203–210.
Wheeler, W. M. 1900a. The female of *Eciton sumichrasti* Norton, with some notes on the habits of Texan ecitons. American Naturalist 34(403): 563–574. doi: 10.1086/277704
Wheeler, W.M. 1900b. A study of some Texan Ponerinae. Biological Bulletin 2: 1–31.
Wheeler, W.M. 1902. A consideration of S.B. Buckley's "North American Formicidae". Transactions of the Texas Academy of Science 4 (2): 17–31.
Wheeler, W.M. 1903a. A decade of Texan Formicidae. Psyche 10(323): 93–111. doi: 10.1155/1903/67840
Wheeler, W.M. 1903b. A revision of the North American ants of the genus *Leptothorax* Mayr. Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia 55: 215–260.
Wheeler, W.M. 1904. A new type of social parasitism among ants. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 20: 347–375.
Wheeler, W. M. 1906. The ants of the Grand Cañon. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 22: 329–345.
Wheeler, W.M. 1907. The fungus-growing ants of North America. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 23: 669–807.
Wheeler, W. M. 1908. The ants of Texas, New Mexico and Arizona. (Part 1.). Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 24: 399–485.
Wheeler, W. M. 1910. The North American forms of *Camponotus fallax* Nylander. Journal of the New York Entomological Society 18(4): 216–232. http://www.jstor.org/stable/25003428
Wheeler, W.M. 1915. Some additions to the North American ant fauna. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 34: 389–421.
Wheeler, W.M. 1930. The ant *Prenolepis imparis* Say. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 23(1): 1–26.
Whitford, W.G. and J.B. Gentry. 1981. Ant communities of southeastern Longleaf Pine plantations. Environmental Entomology 10(2): 183–185. doi: 10.1093/ee/10.2.183
Wilson, E.O. 1955. A monographic revision of the ant genus *Lasius*. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology of Harvard College 113: 1–201.
Wilson, E.O. 2003. *Pheidole* in the New World. A dominant, hyperdiverse ant genus. Cambridge: Harvard University Press. 794 pp.
WMWR Species Lists. 2016. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Services – Wichita Mountains Wildlife Refuge. Accessed at http://www.fws.gov/refuge/Wichita_Mountains/wildlife/species_list.html, 4 February 2016.
Young, J.H. and D.E. Howell. 1964. Ants of Oklahoma. Stillwater: Oklahoma State University. 48 pp.

Author contributions: KAR and DVR collected the data, KAR identified the specimens, KAR and DVR wrote the text, and KAR performed the analyses.

Received: 22 February 2016
Accepted: 6 July 2016
Academic editor: Aline Barcellos